

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

<b>APPLICANT:</b>	Luis M. Ortiz, et al.	<b>EXAMINER:</b>	Yoder, Chris S. III
<b>SERIAL NO.:</b>	10/015,458	<b>GROUP:</b>	2622
<b>FILED:</b>	12/13/2001	<b>ATTY DKT NO.:</b>	1000-1086
<b>TITLED:</b>	WIRELESS TRANSMISSION OF IN PLAY CAMERA VIEWS TO HAND HELD DEVICES		

---

**Please forward all correspondence to:**

ORTIZ & LOPEZ, PLLC  
Patent Attorneys  
P.O. Box 4484  
Albuquerque, NM 87196-4484

**EFS-WEB**

---

**Mail Stop Non-Final Amendment**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**CORRECTION TO COMMENTS IN RESPONSE FILED JUNE 3, 2009**

Dear Sir:

Applicants filed an amendment and response on June 3, 2009 to the Final Office Action dated February 3, 2009, for the above captioned matter.

After a review of the submitted paper, it was discovered that Applicants erroneously identified a declaration as being attached to the paper. In fact, a declaration was not submitted and it was not Applicants' intent to submit a declaration with the June 3, 2009 paper. Please disregard this comment. Only claim amendments and remarks are being submitted for consideration by the Examiner.


Furthermore, while reviewing Applicants' paper, an error was found in the comments after the paper was submitted electronically. Applicants erroneously stated on page 15, last

paragraph, of the paper that "Verna does teach or suggest cellular telephones including PDA features and having video and data capabilities." This statement was actually written incorrectly and submitted in error. Applicant mistakenly left out the word "not" after the words "Verna does..." in the sentence. The comment actually should have read as follows: "Verna does not teach or suggest cellular telephones including PDA features and having video and data capabilities." Applicants' comments on page 16, paragraph 2, are also consistent with the statement but it should also be clarified further that Verna does not teach a system interoperable with hand held devices: including video- and data-enabled cellular telephone and PDA capabilities and authorized to receive and process said in-play camera view; and hand held devices including video- and data-enabled cellular telephones and PDA capabilities, 802.11 wireless capabilities and authorized by at least one security code to receive in-play camera views and adapted to display in-play camera views. These features are now claimed by Applicants and were submitted for reconsideration by Examiner.

The Examiner is again respectfully requested to contact the undersigned representative to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 4, 2009



Luis M. Ortiz  
Co-Applicant and Attorney  
Registration No. 36,230  
ORTIZ & LOPEZ, PLLC  
P.O. Box 4484  
Albuquerque, NM 87196-4484  
(505) 314-1311  
lortiz@olpatentlaw.com